MIKE ROGERS, ALABAMA, CHAIRMAN
JOE WILSON, SOUTH CAROLINA
MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO
DOUG LAMBORN, COLORADO
ROBERT J. WITTMAN, VIRGINIA
AUSTIN SCOTT, GEORGIA
SAM GRAVES, MISSOURI
ELISE M. STEFANIK, NEW YORK
SCOTT DesJARLAIS, TENNESSEE
TRENT KELLY, MISSISSIPP
MIKE GALLAGHER, WISCONSIN
MATT GAETZ, FLORIDA
DON BACON, NEBRASKA
JIM BANKS, NIDANA
JACK BERGMAN, MICHGAN
MICHAEL WALTZ, FLORIDA
MIKE JOHNSON, LOUISIANA
LISA C. MCCLAIN, MICHIGAN
RONNY JACKSON, TEXAS
PAT FALLON, TEXAS
PAT FALLON, TEXAS
CARLOS A. GIMENEZ, FLORIDA
ANNCY MACE, SOUTH CAROLINA NANCY MACE, SOUTH CAROLINA BRAD FINSTAD, MINNESOTA DALE W. STRONG, ALABAMA MORGAN LUTTRELL, TEXAS MONGAN LUTHELL, TEXAS
JENNIFER A. KIGGANS, VIRGINIA
NICK LALOTA, NEW YORK
JAMES C. MOYLAN, GUAM MARK
ALFORD, MISSOURI
CORY MILLS, FLORIDA
RICHARD MCCORMICK, GEORGIA

CHRIS VIESON, STAFF DIRECTOR

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

U.S. House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-6035

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTEENTH CONGRESS

ADAM SMITH, WASHINGTON, RANKING JOE COURTNEY, CONNECTICUT JOHN GARAMENDL CALIFORNIA PUBLICAGO, ARZONALD NORGROSS, NEW JERSEY RUBEN GALLEGO, ARZONA SETH MOULTON, MASSACHUSETTS SALUD O. CARBALAL, CALIFORNIA RO KHANNA, CALIFORNIA BILL, REATING, MASSACHUSETTS ANDY KIM, NEW JERSEY CHRISSY HOULAHAN, PENNSYLVANIA ELISSA SLOTKIN, MICHIGAN MIDE SHERRIHLL, NEW JERSEY VERONICA ESCOBAR, TOXAS JARED F. COLIDEN, MAINE SAPA JACOBS, CALIFORNIA MARILYN STRICCLAND, WASHINGTON PATRICK RYAN, NEW YORK JEFF JACKSON, NORTH CAROLINA GABE VASQUEZ, NEW MORCO GABE VASQUEZ, NEW MEXICO
CHRISTOPHER R. DELLIZIO, PENNSYLVANIA
JILI, N. TOKUDA, HAWAII
DONALD G. DAVIS, NORTH CAROLINA
JENNIFER L. MCCLELLAN, VIRGINIA
TERRIA, SEWELL, ALARAM
STEVEN HORSFORD, NEVADA
JIMMY PANETTA, CALIFORNIA
MARC A, VEASEY, TEXAS

BRIAN GARRETT, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

ADAM SMITH, WASHINGTON, RANKING MEMBER

November 13, 2023

The Honorable Christine Wormuth Secretary U.S. Department of the Army 101 Army Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20310-0101

Dear Secretary Wormuth,

While the United States has developed sea and land-based ballistic missile defense capabilities and a credible sea-based cruise missile defense capability, we believe that significant gaps exist in our land based, missile defense capabilities used to counter drones, artillery rockets and cruise missiles. As discussed in the DoD Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China 2023 Annual Report to Congress, we are particularly concerned about expeditiously closing this gap with the developing Guam missile defense capability as a credible deterrence against an increasingly capable PRC Rocket Forces. Congress directed Army establish an interim cruise missile defense capability and Army subsequently awarded a contract for two Iron Dome batteries. While this was a good first step, we believe it is imperative to accelerate the overall development of a land-based cruise missile defense capability, particularly as it relates to the joint architecture being developed for the Defense of Guam.

The Army began development of an Enduring Cruise Missile Defense System entitled Indirect Fire Protection Capability (IFPC) Increment 2 as a Middle Tier Acquisition (MTA) program in fiscal year 2021. The Army previously indicated its intent to integrate an IFPC capability of a launcher and interceptor leveraging the Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (AIAMD) open systems architecture, the IAMD Battle Command System (IBCS) as the Fire Control component, and the Sentinel sensor, with initial system procurements starting in fiscal year 2024 for a full operational capability in 2030. However, it is our understanding that the operational assessment of the launcher prototype would be delayed to early FY25, putting into question fielding in FY26. Furthermore, Army indicated their intent to competitively develop a second interceptor that would provide capability against supersonic cruise missiles, large caliber rockets, and have increased range over the AIM-9X.

The AIAMD is particularly urgent for the joint defense of Guam architecture. Expeditiously closing the cruise missile defense gap in terms of capability and capacity to defend against PRC cruise missiles attacking land-based targets at Guam is particularly urgent. As we consider options for addressing our cruise missile defense gaps, we are seeking to address how the Army can accelerate efforts to deploy IFPC Inc 2, particularly with respect to the Defense of Guam architecture:

- 1. We understand that the operational assessment of the launcher prototype would be delayed to early FY25. Considering this delay, is IFPC Inc 2 still scheduled to be fielded by FY26? If not, when does the Army expect that it will be fielded?
- 2. Is Army still scheduled to receive all 16 launcher prototypes and 60 fieldable interceptor prototypes by March 2024? If not, what is the expected date of receipt?
- 3. What is the integration timeline of IFPC Inc 2 with IBCS and Sentinel sensor?
- 4. What are the requirements associated with the IFPC Inc 2 second interceptor program including cost targets?
- 5. What is the Army's plan to provide adequate cruise missile defenses for Guam before IFPC Inc 2 becomes fully operational?
- 6. How are the AIM-9X and TAMIR missiles assessed to address adversary cruise missile threats and particularly those threats expected in the defense of Guam?
- 7. How are our partners and allies integrated into the Guam air defense architecture?

We appreciate your support in improving Army's contributions to Guam's cruise missile defense architecture as we continue to develop a credible, deterrent capable missile defense architecture and seek to address an increasingly aggressive PRC posture in the INDOPACOM area.

Sincerely,

Rob Wittman

Bolas & Willman

Chairman

Subcommittee on Tactical Air and Land Forces

Donald Norcross

Ranking Member

Subcommittee on Tactical Air and Land Forces